

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
10/618,668	07/15/2003	Lung-Te Sung	FP9604	5896	
7590 10/18/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Lung-Te Sung			HUNTER, ALVIN A		
PO Box 82-144 Taipei,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIWAN			3711		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/618,668	SUNG, LUNG-TE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alvin A. Hunter	3711				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replement of the provision of the prov		imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	August 2004.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□							
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)□	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document compared copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies o	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica Ority documents have been received Au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
		·					
Attachmer							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-946) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/618,668

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doong (USPN 6354956).

Doong discloses a golf club head having hollow structure having a compression coil 2 being fixed to the back of the striking face 1 of the club head, wherein the compression coil being provided in a direction following the striking face. One end of the compression coil is fixed to the back of the striking face and the other end of the compression coil is fixed to a press bar 3 wherein the ends of the press bar are fitted to the back of the striking face 1 (See Figure 3, and Column 2, lines 7 through 23). Doong does not disclose having the press bar welded to the back of the striking face. Though it does not disclose press bar welded to the back of the striking face, Doong teaches that welding may be used as a means for attaching elements (See Column 2, lines 7 through 23). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to weld the press bar to the back of the striking in order to reduce the resilience of the striking face upon being hit with a golf ball.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/618,668

Art Unit: 3711

Applicant's arguments filed August 4, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Doong does not teach a fixed striking face and a press bar. The examiner disagrees. Applicant does not claim a fix striking face; only that the club has a striking face. Furthermore, applicant does not define what a press bar is. According to Merriam Webster's dictionary, a "bar" is something that obstructs or prevents passage, progress, or action. Doong discloses a member in which does this. For theses reason the above rejection has been furnished.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306Application/Control Number: 10/618,668 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Vidovich, can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAA

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER